<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Comments (incl. Para numbers)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSRPO/0008/ANEC</td>
<td>C. Megginson</td>
<td>North East Planning Body</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSRPO/0013/JS</td>
<td>Joanne Scott</td>
<td>Resident</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSRPO/0007/PAL</td>
<td>Stephen Gaines</td>
<td>Peel Airports Limited</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSRPO/0059/NE</td>
<td>Tracy Jones</td>
<td>Natural England</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Objection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSRPO/0032/man</td>
<td>Manners Family Trust and Manners Farms Ltd</td>
<td>England and Lyle</td>
<td>Support</td>
<td>Support for the overall thrust of the proposed spatial vision for Darlington and that will hopefully see Darlington continue to develop and the physical and economic gateway to the Tees Valley City Region and North East England as a whole. The town is uniquely placed in this respect and it is vitally important if this vision is to be achieved, for the Borough Council to ensure that it makes available for residential development, sufficient land in the right place, at the right time, in and around the town, to secure this objective. It is accepted that re-use of previously developed land and buildings within the urban area will play a significant role in this vision, but of equal importance will be the need to identify and allocate other land around the periphery of the urban area to help meet specific needs and deliver a robust and balanced portfolio of deliverable sites particularly in relation to housing. The need to make provision for one or more sustainable extensions to the urban area of Darlington within the CS, to meet housing needs and deliver the wider spatial vision for the town over the plan period to 2026, and potentially beyond, is therefore fully supported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSRPO/0001/CAA</td>
<td>K Rienema</td>
<td>Civil Aviation Authority</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSRPO/0003/Cjo</td>
<td>Charles Johnson</td>
<td>DBC (Councillor)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Objection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSRPO/0059/NE</td>
<td>Tracy Jones</td>
<td>Natural England</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Objection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSRPO/0059/NE</td>
<td>Tracy Jones</td>
<td>Natural England</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Objection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSRPO/0053/HPC</td>
<td>John Robinson (Parish Clerk)</td>
<td>Hurworth Parish Council</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I welcome the message that best use should be made of existing land and buildings, and that design of new development should be of a high quality. Themes relating to the better use of existing housing, the enhancement of the town centre and strengthening distinctiveness and character through heritage are welcome too.

Themes relating to the better use of existing housing, the enhancement of the town centre and strengthening distinctiveness and character through heritage are welcome too.

The reduction in the number of policies compared to the local plan has its advantages but does mean the subsidiary and supplementary document are / will also be crucial. A general policy in the CS may be quite acceptable, but if a subsidiary document does not follow through on the CS, unsuitable development could result. Much will depend on interpretation and implementation and ensuring the spirit of the policies is maintained.

Support Noted  Noted

The SA is inadequate in respect of its comparative assessment of strategic options C, D, E and F. Option F is a more sustainable location than the alternatives - see Manners Farms comments in Appendix 6 of the CS.

Noted. This is a well-made argument and suggested change will be given some consideration.

Support Noted  Noted

Figure 1.1 is useful. Paragraph 1.2.2 should include additional text to clearly illustrate to the reader the relationship between the emerging Tees Valley Minerals and Waste Core Strategy and the rest of the Darlington LDF. This is of particular importance in relation to Mineral Safeguarding Areas, which will be defined through the Tees Valley Minerals and Waste Core Strategy, and will need to be transposed onto the LDF Proposals Map. The Coal Authority therefore considers that the following wording should be added to paragraph 1.2.2: “...and is due to be submitted to the Government for Independent Examination shortly. The Tees Valley Minerals and Waste Core Strategy will identify issues such as Minerals Safeguarding Areas and waste proposals that will be illustrated on the Darlington LDF Proposals Map.”

See response to other comments.  Note. The allocation of housing sites will take place in the forthcoming Accommodating Growth DPD.

None  None
Mr. Paul Hilton  
Resident  
N/A  
Comment  
Considering the planned housing expansion beyond West Park and High Grange and the potential distance from community facilities, I think it would improve community involvement to plan for a dual use church/community centre for a range of leisure, fitness and social activities.  

Suggestion noted. This will be considered in drawing up the local infrastructure plan and the future consideration will be given as to whether land could be allocated with the North West Urban Fringe area for such a facility, and whether there is sufficient funding and other support from a range of organizations/agencies.  

Not in the Core Strategy but will be considered in other associated more detailed planning documents.

CSRPO/0042/EH  
Alan Hunter  
English Heritage  
N/A  
Support  
EH welcomes the intention to sustain the rural settlements of the Borough by (only?) allowing small-scale (appropriately?) scaled developments if they respect their character and appearance.  

Support Noted  
None

CSRPO/0053/HPC  
John Robinson  
(Parish Clerk)  
Hurworth Parish Council  
N/A  
Support  
My Council fully supports and concurs with the need to maintain the 2 communities of Hurworth and Hurworth Place. Both communities have their own identity and self worth (page 9 2.1.5). It is vital that the need to focus on the provision of services and facilities to sustain the rural communities must be high on the agenda (p24 3.1.13).  

Noted. However, this document does not indicate any need or otherwise to maintain Hurworth and Hurworth Place as separate communities. A review of limits to development, that will take place as part of preparing a forthcoming Making Places DPD will be where this matter could be addressed.  

None

CSRPO/0019/CPRE  
Gillian Gibson  
CPRE Darlington District Committee  
N/A  
Support  
CPRE has been impressed with this document as many of the policies promote matters of importance to us, such as protecting and enhancing built and natural landscapes (CS14) and the Green Infrastructure Network (CS17). The embedding of environmental issues and policies throughout the document is also welcomed.  

Noted and welcomed.  
None

John Robinson  
(Parish Clerk)  
Hurworth Parish Council  
N/A  
Support  
Ref Para 2.2.3 "Manufacturing and primary industries now account for only about 10% of all jobs. Throughout the report the objectives are well set out, and the transport, housing and logistics strengthen the theme of One Darlington Perfectly Placed.  

Support Noted  
None

CSRPO/0053/HPC  
John Robinson  
(Parish Clerk)  
Hurworth Parish Council  
N/A  
Support  
Darlington has traditionally been a market town, but in recent years the marketing centre concept might appear to have declined. The LDF does not address the importance of farming and agriculture as an essential segment of the community and the sustainability of the borough. We see French markets selling in the town and we see many advertisements for Xmas markets in European towns of similar size to Darlington, and we see other market towns such as Lincoln, actively promoting their markets. It may be beneficial for DBC to revaluate this source of revenue and build provisions into the new framework. We would ask however, that consideration be made to preserve and support the businesses in the rural areas such as ours for DBC to give its support and perhaps work to the local economy of the rural areas. We also need to ensure shops and businesses in the rural villages, post offices are not lost in an attempt to preserve Darlington town center. These businesses along with public houses are the bedrock of communities and the lifesaver of elderly and disabled without cars or a decent bus service.  

The appearance and environmental value of Grade 1, 2 and 3 agricultural land will be protected and where appropriate enhanced by the policies of the CS (in particular CS9) are in no way intended to undermine village shops and services; quite the reverse. VA to provide further comments.  

None

Terry James  
(Parish Clerk)  
Hurworth Parish Council  
N/A  
Support  
There is a need for greater police presence.  

Outside the scope of the Core Strategy.  
None

CSRPO/0053/HPC  
John Robinson  
(Parish Clerk)  
Hurworth Parish Council  
N/A  
Comment  
Yarm Road and North Road both need a face-lift and we believe that this should be built into the framework.  

Noted. However these issues are too detailed for this document. Improvements to specific areas of the Borough can be identified through future LDF documents and other Council plans and strategies as well as through planning applications, in particular the design of new development as set out in the Council’s adopted Design SPD.  

None

CSRPO/0053/HPC  
John Robinson  
(Parish Clerk)  
Hurworth Parish Council  
N/A  
Comment  
There is a need for greater police presence.  

Outside the scope of the Core Strategy.  
None

CSRPO/0042/EH  
Alan Hunter  
English Heritage  
N/A  
Support  
Welcome the pre-eminence of the town centre as a driver for growth.  

Noted  
None

CSRPO/0039/dsg  
N/A  
DSG International Indigo Planning Limited  
N/A  
Comment  
Para 5.1.9 Refers to a loss of market share from the town centre to destinations within and outside the Borough, including the Metro Centre and Teesside Park drawing trade away having been occupied by ‘high street’ names. The objective of clawing back expenditure on these non bulky goods, which traditionally underpin the town centre retail function, is clearly beneficial for the town centre though the units with large floor plates within the Borough (required to accommodate a significant amount of space for display, circulation and access are not characteristics attributable to town centres) do more to beneficially retain expenditure on bulky goods within the Borough than to draw trade from the town centre.  

Agree that retail warehouses help retain expenditure on bulkier comparison goods within the Borough. But the Para. Is factually correct in saying that some of these stores now supplement such sales with the sale of smaller items, albeit not in contravention of the relevant planning permissions.  

None
| CSRPO/0039/dsg | N/A | DSG International Indigo Planning | Objection | Whilst the economic value of retailing is acknowledged with regard to the town centre economy the document does not acknowledge the wider economic role of retailing elsewhere in the Borough. The retail sector is comprised within the classification of economic development in PPS4 and is now widely recognised as having an important employment role, which can meet the needs of the local population. In particular for providing employment for excluded groups, those in need of flexible working hours or those previously employed in diminishing sectors. Training employees in the retail sector can provide entry-level access to employment as well as skills applicable for advancement across other areas of the service sector. The economic value of retail within Darlington is therefore more far reaching than its important role within the town centre. For example Darlington Retail Park, including the existing Curry's unit, is a major employer in the town. Retail matters are covered separately from other economic issues in Chapter 5. | None |
| CSRPO/0039/dsg | N/A | DSG International Indigo Planning | Objection | Para 5.1.6 Does not recognise the value of (major out of centre) retail facilities, such as Darlington Retail Park, which provides a complementary function to the town centre, ensuring bulky goods expenditure is retained within the Borough rather than leaking to other similar facilities outside the Borough which would result in longer car borne journeys. Disagree: the part that such facilities play in the Borough’s retail provision is considered to be adequately acknowledged in the text of Chapter 5. | None |
| CSRPO/0017/NYCC | Carl Bunnage North Yorkshire County Council | N/A | Support | Generally does not pose policy-planning issues of significant strategic concern for North Yorkshire. Welcome the emphasis placed upon sustainable development throughout the document. | Noted |
| CSRPO/0055/WEBB | John Webb Resident | N/A | Query | [Can you] enlighten me regarding the missing section of our Inner Ring Road. This significant feature of previous plans does not figure at all in any current or future planning. In my belief this is a glaring omission and an obvious gap in the 'town centre' plan. What, if any, plans are there to eventually complete the project? | The proposal to construct the final stage (stage V) of the Inner Ring Road, around the western edge of the town centre, was abandoned by the highway authority in the early 1990s following a public inquiry and subsequent refusal of the necessary permissions by the Secretary of State. | None |
| CSRPO/0057/SPC | Alastair Mackenzie Sadberge Parish Council | N/A | Support | The majority of the Core Strategy: Revised Preferred Options document is very good. In most cases, there is a thorough analysis of the issues and the preferred options are well thought out and clearly explained. This is particularly true of the sections on “A Vibrant Town Centre and Accessible Local Shops and Services” and “Quality Housing for All”. | Noted and Welcomed |
| CSRPO/0057/SPC | Alastair Mackenzie Sadberge Parish Council | N/A | Support | Sadberge Parish Council agrees that there should be an objective to preserve the scale of – and strengthen the unique character, function and sense of place of – Darlington’s villages (objective 7), and that support should be provided to maintain, expand and enhance facilities and networks for public transport, walking and cycling (objective 8). | Noted |
This section sets out how healthcare, sport and active recreation will change over the LDF period. The task of the LDF is to set a spatial planning framework which will facilitate positive improvements to the Borough’s health, safety and well-being and support the vision set out in Our Darlington: Perfectly Planned. Inserted prior to Green Infrastructure Para 8.1: Context: Health, well-being and safety are major issues on the national agenda and are closely interrelated. Health is about more than access to medical treatment and services as it can be improved through delivering quality, new and sustainable buildings and supporting residents to gain employment. It is also about encouraging lifestyle changes by providing safe, attractive, pedestrian and cycle routes and safeguarding open spaces and community facilities as well as living in a safe environment whilst promoting socially cohesive and inclusive sustainable communities. Hospital site and health centre form part of the elements that contribute to delivering sustainable communities and consequently, the LDF will contribute to protect existing health facility sites, including the Darlington Memorial Hospital, to ensure that access to health is maximised. The potential requirement for new hospital development and health centres for example through reorganisation / redevelopment will be considered in the DPDs. Testament to this change was the relocation by the Tees Esk and Wear Valleys NHS Foundation Trust Adult Mental Health services from the Darlington Memorial Hospital to the West Park Hospital. Darlington Memorial Hospital is a key provider of healthcare facilities within the administrative governance of the Council. A fundamental requirement of the LDF is that it need to be flexible and that during the plan period to 2023, there is the possibility with changing health policy that there may be further expansion required at Darlington Memorial Hospital. Part of the changing delivery of healthcare services includes the provision of more health services away from a centralised point of delivery to services focused upon local communities. Policy CS17A: Healthcare provision: To improve the health of the Borough proposals for the intensification of healthcare uses on existing sites in accessible locations will be supported subject to addressing other LDF policies. New and relocated healthcare facilities will be permitted only where adequate alternative provision is made to meet the needs of the community served by the facility. The principal health facilities in Darlington, including the Darlington Memorial Hospital, are safeguarded for health provision with a general presumption of continued expansion within their site, accompanied by suitable travel plans to reduce dependency on the private motor car. Within the safeguarded areas, proposals for non-healthcare uses must demonstrate that the land is no longer required for healthcare. In Hospital Areas, shown on the Key Diagram and within the DPD’s the following uses will be preferred: Hospital and other residential institutions - research and development. Whereas acceptable uses include small-scale office developments, housing, community facilities and institutions. Unacceptable uses are general industrial and warehouse and open storage. Development proposals for uses not listed would be decided on their own merits and in accordance with the other policies in the CS. Darlington accommodates a concentration of healthcare services (e.g. hospital/acute services and mental health facilities) of local and regional significance. Hospital sites, particularly the Darlington Memorial Hospital, will continue to see modernisation, consolidation and perhaps the redevelopment of existing buildings and services. But there will be greater emphasis in future to provide more health services in the community, together with the opportunity to create new facilities. This policy aims to establish an environment that enables residents of Darlington to improve their quality of life through the provision of accessible and high quality health facilities. All major development proposals should be subject to a Health Impact Assessment in order to identify and address the potential impacts of the proposal, which will also address contributions to healthcare facilities in policy CS3. The policy also aims to ensure that new facilities are provided in response to the growth of the Borough, changing demographic and gender profiles, and a changing clinical model during the plan period. Implementation - Working with the Trust on the implementation of a programme of high quality healthcare facilities at the Darlington Memorial Hospital and other locations within the Borough as the need arises; - Through the consideration of planning applications; The Core Strategy should recognise the economic benefit of all retail and enable existing facilities such as Darlington Retail Park to continue to enhance the existing offer in order to maintain competitive with similar types of retail offer outside the Borough. It is considered that Chapter 5 adequately reflects current retail provision in the Borough, including Darlington Retail Park. Any future proposals to enhance its offer will be considered in the light of local and national policies. No specific provision for growth at the site is considered to be justified in the Core Strategy.
CSRPO/0058/EA  Liz Lightbourne  Environment Agency  N/A  Support  

Support to see the aim to protect and enhance biodiversity through the CSRPO, and that previous comments have been taken into account. Strongly support policies in relation to biodiversity including CS2 (b) and (g), CS4 points 3 and 5, CS15 and the supporting text and CS17 consistent with PPS9.

Comments welcomed and noted

CSRPO/0058/EA  Liz Lightbourne  Environment Agency  N/A  Support / Objection  

Support / Objection to ensure that new development is not located in areas at risk from flooding. This should be demonstrated by applying the Sequential Test, guiding development away from flood risk areas. Noted

Support / Objection to the Core Strategy Sequential Test assessment to be produced to accompany the submission Core Strategy.

CSRPO/0059/NE  Tracy Jones  Natural England  N/A  Support  

Support 9.1.26-30 Support this approach. Also links to the green infrastructure policy CS17.

Support Noted

CSRPO/0042/EH  Alan Hunter  English Heritage  N/A  Comment  

Comment: the special character of the town will be evident 'through its abundant open spaces and environmental resources'. Suggest making it clear that its special character will also be evident in the extent to which the cultural and historic assets of the Borough are cherished and celebrated.

Agree

CSRPO/0059/NE  Tracy Jones  Natural England  N/A  Comment  

Comment 9.1.21 There should also be a section added here on strategic walking routes /public rights of way network, linked to the identification of any key improvement areas required that are included for example within the Rights of Way Improvement Plan, and/or the Green Infrastructure Strategy – this would provide the link to CS19 (k).

Agree that there is a need for similar wording somewhere in the introductory section, although not in this para which is concerned with transport connections between the Borough and elsewhere.

Incorporate appropriate introductory text to link to Policy CS19(k).

CSRPO/0041/CDDN HS  Nicholas Lawrence  County Durham & Darlington NHS Foundation Trust  Eko Planning (North) Limited  Objection  

Objection to the RPO not deliver a sound, robust and effective CS. In brief, the Trust requires the CS to incorporate maximum flexibility in its strategy, spatial vision, policies and proposals to ensure future healthcare related development and redevelopement policies and proposals are not prejudiced. Such an approach would allow the trust to respond to a changing climatic model in terms of delivering healthcare services and the increasing role of patient choice in terms of source of medical treatment. Such an approach will thereby allow the trust to provide high quality healthcare services to the Borough of Darlington to meet its strategic targets.

There is a balance to be achieved between having flexibility in the plan and having sufficient certainty to enable infrastructure and service providers to plan ahead. Further consideration will have to be given as to whether this objection can be addressed without undermining the overall purpose of the Core Strategy.

Minor rewording would be appropriate to ensure that a balance is achieved between economic, environmental and social needs and aspirations in the spatial vision.

CSRPO/0041/CDDN HS  Nicholas Lawrence  County Durham & Darlington NHS Foundation Trust  Eko Planning (North) Limited  Objection  

Objection to the RPO not deliver a sound, robust and effective CS. In brief, the Trust requires the CS to incorporate maximum flexibility in its strategy, spatial vision, policies and proposals to ensure future healthcare related development and redevelopement policies and proposals are not prejudiced. Such an approach would allow the trust to respond to a changing climatic model in terms of delivering healthcare services and the increasing role of patient choice in terms of source of medical treatment. Such an approach will thereby allow the trust to provide high quality healthcare services to the Borough of Darlington to meet its strategic targets.

There is a balance to be achieved between having flexibility in the plan and having sufficient certainty to enable infrastructure and service providers to plan ahead. Further consideration will have to be given as to whether this objection can be addressed without undermining the overall purpose of the Core Strategy.

Minor rewording would be appropriate to ensure that a balance is achieved between economic, environmental and social needs and aspirations in the spatial vision.

CSRPO/0013/CPRE  Gillan Gibson  CPRE Darlington District Committee  N/A  Comments  

Comments: whilst the first use of an acronym sees what it stands for written out in full, latter uses do not. Someone may only read one page and not spelt out. A separate list of acronyms, perhaps as an appendix, would be helpful.

This may be difficult to accommodate as we encourage to prepare a more streamlined document for publication. To consider.

Depends on further consideration and ability to accommodate in a streamlined document.
Future growth in the Borough would need to be assessed carefully in relation to the capacity of the Company's Sewage Treatment Plan (STP) at Strellishome. Without considering the detailed development scenarios, it is NWL's view that demand for increased capacity from identified growth will be able to be catered for in NWL's identified investment period between 2010 and 2015 (AMPS) but that capacity studies will be needed for growth beyond this period. It should be emphasised that close liaison with NWL at the earliest possible stage is essential to assessing the impact of proposed future development locations and site specific proposals on NWL infrastructure in order to ensure the soundness of all Local Development Plan Documents.

Noted. Discussions are ongoing with NWL to ensure that the water and sewerage demand of the new development proposed in the Core Strategy can be provided for at the times required.

Point noted
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None
There is a need to ensure the integrity of habitat networks linking areas throughout and outside the plan area to allow species and habitats movement to adapt to changing conditions. (links to PPS9 paragraph 12). Add 'and to ensure the integrity of habitat networks in order to allow the natural environment to adapt to climate change'.

The community values the conservation of the historic environment and the retention of the areas unique identity, and calls for the protection and enhancement of character and integrity of its villages and landscape character as a means of keeping separate the built and rural parts of the borough. The proposed vision for Darlington reflects much of this thinking. When compared to Para. 3.0.1 however, it can be observed that some overarching aims relating to social equality and cohesion, and carbon footprint are perhaps better expressed there.

The LIP will be amended accordingly.
EA Catchment Flood Management Plan sets out flood risk management policies to deliver sustainable flood risk management for the long term beyond investment period to 2012. "Mid catchment" contains Darlington; the policy is to take further action to reduce flood risk, applied to those areas where further action to reduce flood risk is most compelling, where there are many people at high risk or where changes in the environment have increased risk. This will require additional appraisal to assess whether there are socially and environmentally sustainable, technically viable and economically justified options. The plan suggests that new or improved defences through Darlington and Croft may be needed under this approach. Further investigation will be required for the provision of future flood defences and structures as there may be downstream effects. A proposed action is to carry out a feasibility study of the potential to install flood defences in Darlington and protect the main roads including the A1. It suggests that a review of sewer and drainage capacity in Darlington be undertaken to relieve pressure on watercourses and drains that are at capacity. The capacity could be enhanced, if economically justifiable. Working with landowners to develop opportunities for improved floodplain storage should be considered where possible and appropriate for flood risk management. Sustainable land management like buffer strips should be encouraged to help reduce runoff.

The LIP sets out details of known infrastructure provision and key infrastructure to deliver the strategic locations. In appropriate circumstances flood mitigation could be sought under through planning obligations or via condition attached to a planning permission. Although the use of planning obligations, tariffs and CIL or similar funding mechanisms is identified, the use of these matters needs to be more closely cross referenced with the Core Strategy.

The LIP will be amended to clearly cross reference the Core Strategy.

Comments welcomed and noted
Mr. A Rivero  
Network Rail (Infrastructure) Ltd  
 objection

National Express is no longer the train operating company for Darlington station. The running of the ECML has been taken back into Government ownership ("East Coast") but is likely to be re-franchised in late 2011. All references to "National Express" should be deleted. Reference should be made to the interim arrangements and likely new franchise. "National Express" is no longer the train operating company (TOC) for Darlington station. The running of the ECML has been taken back into Government ownership ("East Coast") but is likely to be re-franchised in late 2011. All references to "National Express" should be DELETED throughout the document. Reference should be made to the interim arrangements and likely new franchise.

Timothy Wheeler  
Ward Hadaway  
support/objection

Consider it to be a worthwhile exercise in terms of setting out the likely programme of social infrastructure works, which may be required during the plan period. Unsure as to how the delivery mechanism would work. Would this be via a continuation of existing s106 practice, a more refined tariff based system, or even via the application of the new CIL arrangements, should these ever come into force due to political considerations. The adopted approach must provide certainty and predictability for the development industry.

Nicholas Lawrence  
County Durham & Darlington NHS Foundation Trust  
Eko Planning (North) Limited  
comments

Text to be included in Local Infrastructure Plan The Trust provides hospital services from 3 main sites: Bishop Auckland General Hospital, Darlington Memorial Hospital, and University Hospital of North Durham. Under its commitment to provide services as close to patients' homes as possible the Trust, aside from providing healthcare facilities from its three main sites runs two community hospitals at Shotley Bridge and Chester-le-Street together with outpatient, community and outreach services from other sites as well as community based services such as the 'hospital at home'. There is realisation by the Trust that over the next few years, more services will be provided closer to home, avoiding unnecessary travel and reducing the need for hospital visits. The Trust has around 5,000 staff and 1,257 beds. It provides secondary care district general hospital services to a population of around 500,000 in County Durham and Darlington and into North Yorkshire, with sub-regional services reaching into the South of Tyne area, serving a population of some 1.2 million. The trust also provides services to the populations of the Primary Care Trusts (PCTs) in County Durham and Darlington and also to other PCTs outside the geographical boundaries of the Trust. Within the Borough the primary site for healthcare provision is the DMH, which currently employs 2,200 staff with 418 beds. It is also a major employer within the Borough. Additional text to be added to paragraph 9.2.3: Although the Urban Fringe is the area expected to deliver the greatest number of new dwellings, it does not follow that new health services should be located there. The need is also dependant on the structure of the population they serve, the need to replace or refurbish existing facilities and the impact of service changes and advances in technology. The clinical model has already affected service delivery that may require future infrastructure investment at the DMH (I.e. from the DMH sharing with the University hospital of North Durham and the Accident and Emergency service from the withdrawal of that service from Bishop Auckland). Also the proposed resident population and employment growth envisaged within the CS will have an effect on the type and level of service provided at the DMH, which will have an impact upon infrastructure requirements within and beyond the plan period. House building targets will be taken into account when reviewing health facility requirements, attendant infrastructure requirements. Costs / Funding Sources: Aside from the sources identified, within the LIP, developer contributions may be used to deliver additional health service facilities.

National Grid  
National Grid previously commented on the draft in July 2009 and has no information to add.  
comments

The contents of the report are noted and I confirm that the Agency has no specific comments to make regarding this report.  
comments

The Agency has no specific comments to make regarding this report.  
comments

Sustainability Appraisal (SA)